IRSTI 16.21.07

https://doi.org/10.26577/JOS.2023.v105.i2.01



Al-Azhar University, Egypt, Cairo e-mail: alishaban952@yahoo.com

DIFFICULTIES OF READING ARABIC AS A FOREIGN LANGUAGE

This paper aims to investigate the problems associated with reading Arabic as a foreign language. Workers in the field of teaching Arabic as a foreign language must have noticed that learners of Arabic face some problems that lead to hindering or slowing down their progress in reading as a language skill. This paper tried to pinpoint the difficulties that face non-native Arabic learners, and the different factors pertaining to those problems. An empirical tool, to be described below, was used to achieve the objectives of this paper. Despite the fact that a lot of work has been done in this area of study, the overwhelming majority of the findings of this paper was not shown or mentioned in earlier works. The scope of this study covered the orthographic, phonological, lexical, syntactic, semantic, and contextual factors that shaped the accuracy, fluency of reading, and comprehension of the texts read by the students participated in this study. The results showed that some areas in the Arabic language posed a real challenge to the reader; (1) Predicting the vowels that shape the phonological structure of the word. (2) Determining the correct vowel that goes with the second consonants of the verb-root. (3) Determining the correct vowel that goes with the second consonants of the present tense. (4) The grammatical case endings of verbs and nouns according to the grammatical function they carry in the sentence.

Key words: Arabic as a Foreign Language, Arabic writing system, Accuracy in reading Arabic. Fluency in Reading Arabic, Vowel Marking in Arabic.

А. Шабан

Әл-Азхар университеті, Египет, Каир қ. e-mail: alishaban952@yahoo.com

Араб тілін шет тілі ретінде оқудағы қиындықтар

Мақала араб тілін шет тілі ретінде оқытудағы оқу дағдыларына қатысты мәселелерді талдауға арналған. Араб тілін шет тілі ретінде оқыту саласындағы мамандар араб тілін үйренушілердің тілдік дағды ретінде оқуда қиындықтарға немесе прогресстің баяулауына әкелетін кейбір қиындықтарға тап болатынын байқады. Бұл мақалада ана тілінде сөйлемейтін араб тілін үйренушілердің алдында тұрған қиындықтарды және осы мәселелерге байланысты әртүрлі факторларды анықтауға әрекет жасалды. Төменде сипатталатын эмпирикалық құрал осы мақаланың мақсаттарына жету үшін пайдаланылды. Зерттеудің осы саласында көптеген жұмыстар жүргізілгенімен, осы мақаланың тұжырымдарының басым көпшілігі бұрынғы жұмыстарда көрсетілмеген. Бұл зерттеу орфографиялық, фонологиялық, лексикалық, синтаксистік, семантикалық және контекстік факторларды қамтиды, олар осы зерттеуге қатысқан студенттер оқыған мәтіндердің дәлдігін, еркін окуын және түсінігін қалыптастырды. Нәтижелер араб тілінде нақты проблема болып табылатын кейбір аймақтар бар екенін көрсетті: (1) сөздің фонологиялық құрылымын құрайтын дауысты дыбыстарды болжау; (2) етістік түбірінің екінші дауыссыздарымен бірге келетін тұрақты дауысты анықтау; (3) шақ морфемасымен бірге келетін тұрақты дауысты анықтау-қазіргі уақыттың тақырыбы (4) сөйлемдегі грамматикалық функцияға сәйкес етістіктер мен зат есімдердің грамматикалық септік жалғаулары.

Түйін сөздер: араб тілі шет тілі ретінде, араб жазу жүйесі, араб тілінде оқу дәлдігі, араб тілінде еркін оқу, араб тілінде дауысты дыбыстарды таңдау.

А. Шабан

Университет аль-Азхар, Египет, г. Каир e-mail: alishaban952@yahoo.com

Трудности при чтении арабского языка как иностранного

Статья посвящена анализу проблем, связанных с навыком чтения в рамках преподавания арабского языка как иностранного. Специалисты в области преподавания арабского языка как иностранного наверняка заметили, что изучающие арабский язык сталкиваются с некоторыми проблемами, которые приводят к затруднению или замедлению их прогресса в чтении как языковом навыке. В этой статье была предпринята попытка определить трудности, с которыми сталкиваются изучающие арабский язык, не являющиеся носителями языка, и различные факторы, связанные с этими проблемами. Эмпирический инструмент, который будет описан ниже, был использован для достижения целей этой статьи. Несмотря на то, что в этой области исследований было проделано много работы, подавляющее большинство выводов этой статьи не были показаны или упомянуты в более ранних работах. Это исследование охватывает орфографические, фонологические, лексические, синтаксические, семантические и контекстуальные факторы, которые формировали точность, беглость чтения и понимание текстов, прочитанных учащимися, участвовавшими в этом исследовании. Результаты показали, что в арабском языке есть некоторые области, которые представляют собой настоящую проблему для читателя: (1) предсказание гласных, формирующих фонологическую структуру слова; (2) определение правильной гласной, которая идет со вторыми согласными корня глагола; (3) определение правильной гласной, которая идет с морфемой времени-подлежащего настоящего времени; (4) грамматические падежные окончания глаголов и существительных в соответствии с грамматической функцией, которую они несут в предложении.

Ключевые слова: арабский язык как иностранный, система арабского письма, точность чтения по-арабски, беглое чтение по-арабски, выделение гласных в арабском языке.

Introduction

There has been a growing interest in teaching and learning Arabic as a second or a foreign language almost all over the world. A great deal of research relating to this subject matter was published in different periodicals. Reading, as a language skill, has captured the attention of workers and researchers in the field of teaching foreign languages a long time ago. For example, Ching Yin Leung (2002), Mokhtari, K., Reichard, C. (2002), Frances H. Mecartty (2000), and Abu-Laiel, reported different types of reading difficulties in Japanese, English, Spanish, and Arabic respectively. Their works examined different participants at different levels of education, natives, and non-natives.

In the last twenty years or so, a good deal of attention has been given to investigating the problems about reading in Arabic. Reading in Arabic is intrinsically different from reading in most other languages. This is because Arabic is a member of the Semitic family, which has some special calligraphic characteristics.

Arabic has a special alphabetical system. In his Course in General Linguistics, (1985, p. 52), Ferdinand de Saussure maintains that the Greek alphabet is the origin of all other alphabets, whereas Coulmas, F. (1993, p. 314) sees that the Phoenician alphabet is the alphabet from which the Greek and other alphabets originated. However, the Greek and Phoenician alphabets differ from Arabic in many respects, the most relevant of which is vowelization. The Greek, from the very beginning, used a writing system that represents all the sounds of any given word; consonants, and vowels, whereas the Phoenician and Semitic languages neglected writing the vowels, and recorded the consonants only in their writing system. The early establishment of the Arabic writing system was not divergent, in most of its details, from the early establishment of the Semitic writing systems in general, that is, the southern Arabic alphabet, known as Al-Musnad, which is the representative of the earliest stage of the Arabic alphabet, was void of any symbol that refers to vowel sounds, be they long or short. The northern Arabic alphabet as well originated from the Nabatean, was also void of reference to vowels. It is worth mentioning that the northern alphabet is the dominant Arabic system now, and on which many modifications were done (Abu-Eid, 2009).

The current Arabic alphabet comprises twentyeight letters, three of which are semi-vowels (i, e, φ), as they can be used as consonants or vowels. In addition to these, Arabic has three short vowels, which are not normally represented by letters, but by diacritics that are placed above or beneath the written consonants, they are called Fatha; short vowel "a"(_-), Kasrah; short vowel "i", (--_), and Dhammah; short vowel "u" (_'). These diacritics are not normally represented in the written form of the Arabic language except for pedagogical purposes or religious scriptures, especially the Qur'an. Arabic, like other Semitic languages, is read and written from right to left.

The phonological representation of the Arabic alphabet is a one-to-one correspondence, that is, each grapheme has only one phonological value, and each sound has only one grapheme. The juxtaposition of certain graphemes yields a phonological phenomenon called 'germination', the most common example of it happens when the definite article, which consists of two graphemes "AL", is prefixed to nouns beginning with one of a closed set of sounds (14 sounds) known, for referential reasons, as the "sun sounds". The phonological representation of the second grapheme "L" of the definite article becomes identical to the adjacent "sun sound" and gets geminated to it. Another phonological phenomenon represented by diacritics is "Tanween". It means the production of the sound "n" at the end of nouns to denote that they are indefinite. So, it has a grammatical function comparable to the function of the definite bound morpheme (AL) referred to above. Phonologically, this function is conveyed by doubling the diacritics Damma ("), Fatha (⁻), and Kasra () according to the case ending of the noun (nominative, accusative, or dative respectively). "Tashdid" is another phonological function conveyed by diacritics. The writing system of Arabic does not allow for writing double consonants or semi-consonants, except in a few cases, but it uses instead a diacritic called "Shaddah", which is placed above the consonant () to denote that the consonant in question is doubled.

This brief presentation of the Arabic writing system has been indispensable, as reading in its essence heavily rests on recognizing and decoding the written symbols of the written language. One of the major skills that the language learner has to master is reading. Reading in its essence is a complex of mental processes that aim at deciphering written symbols, rendering them into phonological values, and extracting meaning out of them. It is axiomatic that the relationship between letter, sound, and meaning is arbitrary, as there is no logical connection between the shape of the letter and its phonological value, nor between the total sum of the phonological components of a given word and its meaning. During this process the reader endeavors to build a mental image of the words he is reading (Al'ayed, S., 1995, p. 8).

Reading in Arabic requires more cognitive demand, and mental processes for associating a written symbol with its phonological representation, as the Arabic alphabet employs a considerable number of extremely similar symbols; the only way of distinguishing between them is by placing a dot or more above or under the symbol. For example, the sounds "B", "T", "TH", "N", and "Y", are represented by an identical shape in the following words:

He reads	Yaqra'u	A- يقرأ
She/you read	Taqra'u	B- تقرأ
We read	Naqra'u	C- نق ر أ
A cow	Baqaratun	D- <mark>ب</mark> قرة
Heavy	Thaqilun	E- ثقيل

where we can see that these five words begin with an identical shape ((... The difference that distinguishes the phonological values of these graphemes is the number and the placement of dots concomitant to the grapheme. The sounds "Y" and "T" are marked by two dots, but they differ in positional occurrence from each other. The dots are placed under the "Y", as in example A, but above the "T" as in example B. On the other hand, the sounds "N" and "B" are marked by one dot, but it is placed above the "N", and under the "B", as in examples C and D respectively. Only the sound "TH" is marked by three dots which are placed above the shape. Moreover, some Arabic graphemes have different forms according to their positional occurrence in the word; the most acute of them is the letter (-).

When the vowels that shape the final form of the word, and determine their grammatical and semantic relationships with other lexical items in a given utterance are absent, the cognitive demand for reading comprehension is certainly magnified.

Accurate reading in Arabic requires operating many cognitive processes; among them:

1- Envisioning the symbols that constitute the word, and linking them to their appropriate phonological representations.

2- Envisioning the appropriate form of the word. In this stage the reader is obliged to choose from several choices that may go up to five; each of them has many possible readings and many different interpretations. This is because the absence of short

vowels in Arabic made it a homographic language. So, the reader exerts more cognitive efforts and applies many mental processes to decode and render the written symbols into sounds, then, infer their meanings. For example, the root KTB: to read, has five possible lexemes:

3- Recognizing the morphological and morpho-phonemic structure of the word.

4- Realizing the grammatical relationships holding between the components of the sentence.

5- Recalling the lexical meaning of those components, and inferring the proper contextual meaning of the whole utterance.

Materials and Methods

this paper examines normal, non-native learners of Arabic at the university level. The participants were the final semester, fourth-year students of the Arabic language section in both Abai and Al-Farabi universities, Almaty, Kazakhstan. They comprised 12 students from Abai University and 13 students from Al-Farabi University. Both groups studied Arabic as a major subject for eight semesters.

The measurement was designed to test the phonological, lexical, morphological, and grammatical knowledge of the students and the impact the knowledge of these factors has on facilitating reading in Arabic. It also aimed at measuring the students' accuracy and fluency in reading Arabic at this stage of the study. It comprised three paragraphs; ten lines each, on different topics. They were written twice, once with short vowels, and the other without short vowels to reflect the normal Arabic printed material. The material was reader-friendly, (Anderson & Armbuster, 1982), in the sense that the students studied them in earlier semesters, and was suitable to their level.

The students were asked to mark all the letters of the unvoweled version with the appropriate vowel markers according to their understanding of the texts. They were given enough time for considering the morphological structures of the words, their lexical meanings, and the grammatical function of each word so that they can decide the case-ending marker and other relevant vowels of each word. They were not allowed to receive any help from the invigilators or their colleagues. The next day, they were asked to voice-record the same texts twice; once from the copy without the short vowels, the other from the vowelized copy. Voice recordings aimed at measuring the linguistic accuracy, and the fluency of the participants, as well as the seen reading strategies each of them demonstrated. Other strategies used by the participants were revealed when the results of scoring their answers were discussed with them. The discussion aimed also at verifying the students' knowledge, and seeking an account for the discrepancies that were spotted in their reading of the written forms with vowel markers and those without vowel markers, as shown in their oral recordings. That is, in some cases, the vowels used by a given participant in the written form were different from those he/she used in the oral recording. Rating the students was not an aim of this study. It only aimed at detecting the difficulties that non-native learners of Arabic face.

The rationale behind this measurement is that accuracy and fluency in reading Arabic as a foreign language reveals the student's degree of phonological accuracy, morphological awareness, lexical and contextual meanings mastery, and knowledge of the grammatical relationships holding between the lexical items in the sentence.

Literature review

Anderson (1999) defines reading as an active, fluent process that involves the reader and the reading material in building meaning. Meaning does not reside on the printed pages, nor is it only in the reader. In the same direction, Urguhart & Weir see reading as a process of receiving and interpreting information encoded in language form via the medium of print (1988, p. 22). It means that the role of the shape of the print is crucial in facilitating or hindering the reader's progress. Some earlier research was done to measure the effects of the absence of short vowels on reading comprehension in Arabic (Abu-Rabia, S. 2007; Abdulbari, M. 2011; Muhammad, F. 2011; Sartawi, Z. n.d.; Na'ima, W. 2012;). These researches examined native dyslexic and normal speakers of Arabic.

Results and Discussion

The results showed deficiencies at many linguistic levels; phonological, morphological, and grammatical. The phonological errors were detected

from the voice recordings, whereas, other errors were detected from voice recordings and the written papers.

The most common phonological errors can be grouped into: (1) The absence of the fricative dental sounds (δ), (θ), (z); they were replaced by the S sound. (2) Misusing the stress patterns. It was stunning that most of the participants couldn't spot the appropriate stressed syllable and produced, in many cases, distorted pronunciation, which led to partial or full misunderstanding. (3) Inaccurate use of the definite article "Lam shamsiyya" (Normally known as " Sun Letters"). Most of the participants couldn't distinguish between the Sun Letters and the Moon Letters, although most of them knew the phonological rules and the theoretical knowledge about it, as was revealed from discussing their oral performance. (4) Mixing between long and short vowels. This was particularly manifested through shortening the long vowels, which, in turn, affected the stress patterns. But, when discussing this point with the participants, most of them showed good awareness of what they should have said. (5) Mixing between Hamzat al-qat? (the pure glottal stop) and hamzayul-wasl (joining glottal stop). This point showed a real challenge to the students, as most of them showed a lack of both theoretical and practical knowledge of the morphological and phonological rules of distinguishing between Hamzat al-qat? (the pure glottal stop) and hamzayul-wasl (joining glottal stop).

The most recurrent morphological errors were represented by: (1) Word class (verb/noun/adjective/ adverb distinction). (2) Derivation: Noun-adjectiveadverb distinction. (3) Tense (inability to use the correct vowel marker with the tense-person exponent in the present tense verbs). This is well-related to the recognition of the verb root. (4) Determining the correct vowel that goes with the second consonant of the root in the present tense form. Of course, numbers 3 and 4 have phonological realizations, but such realizations are heavily dependent on the morphological knowledge of the case the student is dealing with.

The most recurrent grammatical errors were acutely exemplified by: (1) The inability to use the correct form of the grammatical case endings. (2) The inability to distinguish between normal nouns and mamnu' min as-scarf (diptote nouns).

The relationship between phonological awareness and reading ability has been tested in English and other languages, resulting in compelling evidence that an understanding of the phonological constituents of words is an important determiner of reading success in many other alphabetic orthographies besides English (Yopp, 1988; Treiman, 1992; Durguno glu, Nagy &1/4 Lancia-Bhatt, 1993; MacBride-Chang, 1995, Abu-Rabia, S. 2007). Recognizing the letters and linking them to their phonological values did not seem to pose a major problem to the participants of this study. They showed the stable ability to decode the written symbols and render them to recognizable sounds that did not hinder understanding them. But the fluency in reading was something else. Many of the participants took longer time than expected for achieving this transformation process. The errors that occurred in the voice recordings were not prevailing in the rendering of the written characters, but in trying to guess the unseen components, i.e., the short vowels. Short vowels, in Arabic, decide the morphological form of the word, for example, kataba (verb: he wrote) versus kutub (plural noun: books), which, in turn, assigns a syntactic function to the word, and helps to denote the overall meaning of the utterance. This clearly shows that the correct guessing of the unseen short vowels leads to creating the ultimate phonological shape of the word. This is a sub-reading skill in itself. Abu-Rabia, S. (2007. p. 93) noted that "The most important skill in phonological processing is the association of sounds with letters, that is, the understanding of graphemephoneme conversion rules and the exceptions to these rules". This remark does not tune well with the written unvowelized Arabic texts, since the process of grapheme-phoneme linkage without detecting the short vowels, is not sufficient to decode a written Arabic text. A major function of short vowels in Arabic is to assist the reader to envision the final shape of the word, by providing him with the full information he/she needs to decode its morphological structure and its grammatical function (Abu-Leil, et. Al. p. 28).

Accuracy at the phonological level cannot be achieved without guessing the correct short vowels. Abu-Rabia (1996) tested the role of vowels in reading accuracy in Arabic among highly skilled Arabic readers aged 17–18 years. The results indicated that vowels had a significant effect on the reading accuracy of poor and skilled readers in each reading condition. The same results hold for the non-native participants of this study, as accuracy was manifested during reading the vowelized copy of the material. However, fluency was less noticeable than accuracy. Most of the participants stressed the fact that the vowel markers impeded their normal fluency, as they are not used to it. This was astonishing, as it was in full agreement with observations noted by four adult Arab readers (Abu-Leil, et. al., p. 28).

The reader reads the text and interprets its meaning by mentally providing the missing grammatical information (vocalization process) that leads to an acceptable interpretation. This amounts to an additional manual/human annotation with decisions that may have a non-trivial impact on the overall annotation routine in terms of both accuracy and speed (Maamouri, M. et.al. 2004).

Another acute observation was recorded about the stress patterns the students produced. Stress patterns of the students' mother tongue, which they transferred to the target language played an unmistakable role in diverting the phonological shape of some words, especially those which tend to bear the stress on the first or second syllables. The Kazak language tends to place stress on the final syllable.

The factor of guessing was manifested strategy in deciding the grammatical case ending. The word order the students of Arabic are familiar with is verb + subject + object (or any other complement). When the lexical meaning or the grammatical feature (such as transitive/intransitive) of the verb, is absent, guessing plays a major role in choosing the case ending of the following nouns. For example, in the sentence:

What afflicted the Barmakids with disasters is the injustice and tyranny they prevailed

The verb nakaba (afflicted with disaster) seemed unfamiliar to most of the participants, even though they studied it earlier, so, they attributed the function of the subject to the following word al-baramikah (the Barmakids), whereas it is the object, not the subject, of the verb. This strategy of Analogy to the normal Arabic word order was recurrent in the students' answers. But the case was complete chaos when the sentence involved two animate or inanimate words representing the function of the subject/object or a genitive structure in the sentence. This happens when the lexical meaning is absent. The dichotomy of animate/inanimate is a crucial clue for deciding the grammatical functions of the sentence components. Accurate reading requires producing the grammatical case endings that are governed by the grammatical relationship holding between the constituents of the sentence. This, on the other hand, requires that the reader should understand or be familiar with the meaning of the lexical items of the sentence. The dilemma is that one can produce a correct and accurate reading in most of the languages we know so long as he can link the written symbols to their phonological values. This is because consonants and vowels are represented in the data he is dealing with. But in Arabic, where short vowels are missing, this mental or cognitive process of rendering written symbols into sounds is not enough for producing accurate reading. Intensive lexical familiarity doubled with a higher skill to choose from many possible homographs, and a sound knowledge of Arabic grammar is an indispensable factor for an accurate reading.

Conclusion

How can a curriculum provider for all of these factors at the Asian university level? Day & Bamford (2002) advocated the ten principles presented by Williams, Ray in 1986 for teaching reading, which he called "Extensive reading", as a remedy for this prevailing problem, These principles were meant to be guidelines for teachers to help their students make up for the limited time assigned for reading in curricula, the absence of interesting texts in school books, and to encourage the students to choose what they want to read. This is an alternative put forward for improving reading skills, enhancing the students' feelings towards the language they learn, and creating a taste and sense of the language.

Unfortunately, these principles do not tune well with the Arabic language and the Asian context in which we work. It does not tune well with Arabic as reading in Arabic always needs guidance from the teacher in deciding the necessary short vowels. otherwise, the student will possibly acquire the wrong form of the words, which might be incurable in the future. The only alternative is to provide vowelized texts for extensive reading, which entails a heavy financial burden. On the other hand, it does not tune well with the Asian context, as Thomas Robb denotes, "in institutionalized settings in many parts of Asia, where the priorities of the students favor extracurricular activities, such as part-time jobs, clubs, and social life, over learning, simple encouragement will not be effective with a large number, and perhaps the majority, of one's students" (Robb, T. 2002). This exactly holds for the Central Asian context, where social life and part-time jobs are before anything else in a student's life.

Another proposal was set forth by Palinscar. A. S. et al, (1984, p. 168) for engulfing the gap of learners' weakness in reading. She calls it "The reciprocal teaching method", and maintains that it could be the prime reason for success. She quotes some reasons for supporting her proposal. First, it involves extensive modeling of the type of comprehensionfostering and comprehension-monitoring activities that are usually difficult to detect in the expert reader, as they are executed covertly. The reciprocal teaching procedure provides a relatively natural forum for the teacher to engage in these activities overtly, and hence to provide a model of what it is that expert readers do when they try to understand and remember texts. Second, the reciprocal teaching routines force the students to respond, even if the level at which they are capable is not yet that of an expert. Thus, the reciprocal teaching procedure involves continuous trial and error on the part of the student, married to continuous adjustment on the part of the teacher to their current competence.

This method sounds suitable for the Arabic teaching context. It prompts mutual reading activities between the students and teachers, which, in turn, leads to guiding the students to achieve useful rounds of fruitful teaching and acquiring sound phonological performance on the students' part.

References

Abu-Eid, M. A. (2009). Pragmatics of Arabic writing: A study in language economy. Faculty of Erbid, University of Balqaa, Jordan.

Abu-Laiel, A. K. and D. L. Share, and R. Ibrahim, (www.uv.es/revispsi/preprints/Abu-Laiel.pdf, accessed on 11/01/2014)

Abu-Rabia, S. (2007). The role of morphology and short vowelization in reading Arabic among normal and dyslexic readers in grades 3,6,9, and 12. Journal of Psychology research. Vol. 36. pp. 89-106.

Al-'ayed, S. (1995). Loud reading between reality and ambitions. Symposium of language weakness at the university level, Faculty of Arabic Language, Imam Muhammad bin Saud University, Riyadh, KSA.

Anderson, N. J. (1999). Exploring second language reading: Issues and Strategies. Boston,, MA: Heinle & Heinle.

Anderson, R. C. & Pearson, P. D. (1984). A scheme-theoretic view of basic processes in reading. in R. Barr, M. L. Mosenthal, & P. D. Pearson (Eds.), Handbook of reading research (Vol. 2, pp. 255-292). White Plains. NY: Longman.

- Anderson, T. H., & Armbruster, B. B. (1982). Studying. In P. D. Pearson (Ed.), Handbook of reading research. New York: Longman.
- Baker, L., & Brown, A. L. (1984). Metacognitive skills and reading. In R. Barr, M. L. Kamil, P. Mosenthal, & P. D. Pearson (Eds.), Handbook of reading research (Vol. 2, pp. 353–394). White Plains, NY: Longman.

Bamford, J. & Day, R. R. (eds.). (2003). Extensive reading activities for teaching language. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Ching Yin Leung (2002), Extensive reading and language learning: A diary study of a beginning learner of Japanese, Reading in a foreign language, Vol. 14, No. 1.

Day, R. R. and Bamford, J. (1998). Extensive reading in the second language classroom. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press. Day, R. R. and Bamford, J. (2002). Top Ten Principles for Teaching Extensive Reading. Reading in a Foreign Language, Vol. 14 No. 2 Day, R. R. and J. Bamford. (1998). Extensive reading in the second language classroom. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Day, R. R. and J. Bamford. (2002). Top ten principles for teaching extensive reading. Reading in a Foreign Language 14/2. http://nflrc.hawaii.edu/rfl/October2002/

Florian Coulmas (Jan 1993). Language and Economy. Blackwell.

Frances H. Mecartty (2000), Lexical and grammatical knowledge in reading and listening comprehension by foreign language learners of Spanish. Applied Language Learning. Vol. 11, No. 2. pp. 323-348.

Guthrie, J., & Wigfield, A. (1999). How motivation fits into the science of reading. Scientific Studies of Reading, *3*, 199–205. Hu, M. & Nation, P. (2000). Unknown vocabulary density and reading comprehension. Reading in a Foreign Language. 13(1), 403-430. Maamouri, M. and A. Bies, T. Buckwalter, W. Mekki. (n. d.) The Penn Arabic Treebank: Building a large-scale annotated Arabic corpus. Linguistic data consortium, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104 USA.

Mokhtari, K., and Reichard, C. (2002), Journal of Educational Psychology Vol. 94, No. 2, 249–259

Na'ima, W., et.al. (2012) Lack of attention and over-dynamism and their relation to dyslexia, an empirical study. Faculty of Education, King Saud University, Riyadh. KSA.

Nuttall, C. (1996). Teaching reading skills in a foreign language (2nd ed.) Oxford: Heinemann.

Ong, Walter J. (2002). Orality and Literacy: The Technologizing of the Word (2nd ed.) New York: Routledge.

Palinscar, A. S. et. Al. (1984). Reciprocal teaching of comprehension-fostering and comprehension-monitoring activities. Cognition and Instruction, 1 (2), 117-175.

Salih, Q. and M. Shawush, M. Ajinah (Translators). (1985): A Course in General Linguistics; de Saussure, F.

Tae Ueta (2005). Teaching reading. English for International Students. The University of Birmingham.

Urquhart, S. and Weir, C. (1998). Reading in a second language: Process, Product, and Practice. London: Longman.

Williams, R. (1986). "Top ten" principles for teaching reading. ELT Journal, 40(1), 42-45