Atabay B.A., Koptyleuova D.T.

Image of ancient Turkic words in
Kazakh language

The vocabulary of modern Kazakh language originates from the Turkic formation. The basis of the phonological structure of the Kazakh language is also drenetyurksky language proof materials are of ancient Turkic written monuments. A number of vocabulary included in the "Divan lugat am Turk" Mahmud Kashgar, one of the greatest of the ancient Turkic written monuments in the XI XII., Is found in the modern Kazakh language. Many elements of the Kazakh language drevnetyukrskie preserved primitive form, the Turkic root. Turkic root is naidrevneyschaya historical and real unit of language, which has preserved its essence despite constant phono-morpho-semantic change, occurring in the course of building aglyunativnogo Turkic languages.

Key words: modern Kazakh language, ancient Turkic written monument, M.Kashgari.

Атабай Б.А., Көптілеуова Д.Т.

Қазақ тілінде сақталған көнетүркі сөздерінің келбеті

Қазіргі қазақ тілінің сөздік қоры жалпы түркілік қабаттан өрістейді. Оның негізі, сөздік қоры, фонологиялық құрылысы ежелгі түркі тілінен бастау алатынына көне түркі жазба ескерткіштеріндегі лексикалар дәлел бола алады. XI-XII ғ. жазылған түркі жазба ескерткіштерінің бірі М.Қашқаридің «Диуан луғат ат-түрк» атты еңбегіндегі көптеген сөздер қазіргі қазақ тілінде сақталған. Қазақ тіліндегі көнетүркілік элементтердің арасында кейпін бұзбай сақталғандары да бар. Түркі тілдерінің барлығына тән заңдылық— аглюнативтік даму үдерісінде үнемі болып отырған фоно- морфологиялық өзгерістерге қарамастан, көне әрі тілдік тарихи бірлік болып саналатын түбір өзінің негізін сақтап қалған. Ол түбірлер қазіргі қазақ тілінде де бар.

Түйін сөздер: қазіргі қазақ тілі, көнетүркі жазба ескерткіштері, М.Қашқари.

Атабай Б.А., Коптилеуова Д.Т. Элементы древнетюркской лексики в современном казахском языке Словарный состав современного казахского языка берет свое начало от общетюркского пласта. Основой фонологической структуры казахского языка также является дренетюркский язык, доказательством того служат материалы из письменных древнетюркских памятников. Определенное число лексики, включенной в «Диван лугат ат турк» Махмуда Кашгари, одного из величайших из древнетюркских письменных памятников XI XII в., встречается в современном казахском языке. Многие древнетюкрские элементы казахского языка сохранили первообразную форму, тюркский корень. Тюркский корень есть наидревнейщая и историческая реальная единица языка, сохранившая свою суть несмотря на постоянные фоно-морфо-семантические изменения, происходящие в процессе аглюнативного развития строя тюркских языков.

Ключевые слова: современный казахский язык, древнетюркский письменный памятник, М. Кашгари.

*Atabay B., Koptyleuova D.

Al-Farabi Kazakh National University, Kazakhstan, Almaty *E-mail: b.atabay@mail.com

IMAGE OF ANCIENT TURKIC WORDS IN KAZAKH LANGUAGE

Reach Kazakh language vocabulary is obliged to Turkish language. From the historical sources, before becoming an independent nation Kazakhs survived together with other Turkic nations. That is why the vocabulary of the Kazakh language derived from the Turkish language. Composition of a word, phonological structure originates from the Turkish language [1, 88]. The ancient elements show historical development of Turkic languages, these elements originate ancient Turk period and can be met in written literature which are saved to our days.

Now common Turkic borrowings in Kazakh language is consist of root and historical words. We can rebuild them and show ancient form by historical comparison of words [2, 24]. By comparing an ancient Turkic literature and a modern Kazakh language we can discover old phonetic forms of words, the structure and semantically development.

By discovering borrowed Turkic roots, we have full opportunity to discover the development of roots of words in Kazakh language. Асаdemician А. Kaidar wrote: «Тюркский корень есть наидревнейщая и историческая реальная единица языка, сохранившая свою суть несмотря на постоянные фоно-морфо-семантические изменение, происходящие в процессе аглюнативного развития строя тюркских языков. Он может структурно совпадать с первообразным словом, если оно не превышает одного слога» [3, 24].

Referring to this statement, we tried to discover Turk literature of XI-XII centuries and modern Kazakh words by historical comparing. In order to make a survey to the Turkic borrowed words we took "Dyuan lugat at Turk" of M. Kashgari to discover. All the mentioned words in the vocabulary belong to Turkic nations but this work was written in Arabic.

This work was written in XI century and preserved to our days, one of the Turkic old literatures. A.N. Konanov said that the main aim of writing "Divan" in Arabic was the raise of status of the Turkish language [4, 138]. In order to show the status of Turk language M. Kashgari alluded to Hadith of Prophet Muhammad: طوالا تعلّمو لسان الترك فإن لهم ملكا" Learn Turkic languages, because their power will be long" [5, 1].

This work is the most valuable source for experts in Arab studies because it was written in Arabic. Z.N Nadzhip wrote: "Словарь содержит грамматический очерк и представляет большой интерес не только для тюркологов, но и для арабистов" [6, 619]. During the process of writing of "Divan" M. Kashgari tried to keep the method of Al-Farahidi. This was mentioned in the author's note: "In order to show the equality of Turkish language with Arabic, I used to write all the words not in use by the method of Al-Farahidi in "Kitab ul-Ain". But my aim was to find an appropriate word and to make people use them. That is why I dropped all the words not in use and left only the words those are in active use. I am keeping right way" [5, 34].

Khalil bin Ahmed al-Farahidi (791) is considered to be the father of the Arabic philology. The history of Arab lexicography started from "Kitab ul-Ain". M. Kashgari knew that he may damage the content of the dictionary that is why he followed the way of Abu Ibrahim Ishaq al-Farabi in work «Divan Al-Adab» [7, 209].

The influence of work of al-Farabi on Kashgari dictionary can be seen in the name of the work – "Divan". Similarity of these two works is based on the same methodic.

The main structural difference of "Divan Al-Adab" of Al-Farabi is morphological segmentation method. In order to make it clear in Kazakh language we can give an example: жап, тап, қап, сап; жақ, бақ, сақ, нақ. In Arab lexicography this method is called *Al-Kafiya* and means consonance.

Turkic words were also formed by this method in Kashgari's "Divan", the rule of keeping the last letter the same was strictly enforced in all 8 parts of the dictionary. For example: the first part – the book (the author used to call each part a book) contains words that begin from hamza, in the chapter of words with two consonants is also made by the method of morphological segmentation, for example:

Б. Аб, уб, Т. Ат, ут, ит. Ж. Аж, уж Р. Ар, ир.

From the site of Kazakh morphology we can see only one vowel and one consonant word. If we take into account that this dictionary was formed by Arab lexicography the examples which were given above a, v, u sounds belongs to hamza in

Arab alphabet and this part is called "the book of words begin from hamza".

We started to discover one syllable words in order to fine correspondences with Kazakh language within the words which were formed by the method of "Al-Kafiya". On syllable words are root of the word that is why it gives us a full opportunity to come closer to protolanguage.

Some of the words from hamza book can be seen in modern Kazakh language, for example oH, γH , γH , iH, eH, oŭ. If we transliterate these words we will have transformations like oH, γH , γH into γH , iH, iH into iH, iH, iH into iH, iH into iH, iH into iH, iH into iH into

By the imperative of modern time, environmental and people change the number of consonants was enlarged. This was written in the statement of Zh. Aralbayev «В древнетюркский период существовало только три гласных фонемы (а,у, и). Слова с другими гласными относятся к более позднему периоду развития языка, т.е. период фонетических изменений, заимствований. Среднетюркский период характеризуется становлениями гласных ү, е, і» (8.120-121 р).

Scientists discovered the sound y and conclude that this sound is absolutely used in the beginning of the word. Scientist, investigator of Kazakh sounds Zh. Aralbayev announced that y sound by phonetical rules of Kazakh language is transformed into γ or γ sounds and the second insignificant component y (Tay) sound very short.

All the example above were given in "Esim" book (also called chapter), if we pay attention to one syllable word in the part of "verbs" in this book, these words can phonetically change but semantically they keep their original form in Kazakh language: y6- 06y (ул мани убди- 000 мені 000 мені 000 мені 000 мені 000 мені 000 мені 000 уж-000 (ул ужди 000 от 000 мені 000 уж-000 мені 000 м

узди(ол жіпті үзді). Уқ-ул ар ишни уқди (бұл кісі өз ісін ұқты, түсінді). Ун-ут унди (шөп өнді (өсті). Ут – ул ани утти (ол оны ұтты). Ут-уқ кайиктан утти- оқ киіктен өтті. Қарин утти – іш өтті. Ур-ул саж урди – ол шаш өрді. Ур-ул ут урди-ол шөп шапты. Уз - аник ати узди (оның аты озды). Уй-ул қағун уйди - ол қауынды ойды. Уй - ул униғ уйди - ол ұнды үйді.

Modern Kazakh language has a little difference in phonetics and we can see the way of transformation an old Turkic sound into modern Kazakh language уж-үш, уж-өш, ур-үр, ур-үр, ут-үт, уз-оз, ут-өт, уз-үз, уй-ой, уй-үй уң-уңгі. When we discovered the words which have kept two eras we defined that the sound y is articulatory changeable and that this sound is changed into ұ, ү, о, ө, е, ы sounds in our modern language. Old Turkic words with y sound were transformed into у-ұ, this can be seen in the following examples:

Кут- ёкұт (luck, reverse of a fortune) (9. 315-раде)

Ky3-غُز- the shadow side of mountains is called $\kappa y3$ mae, he sun shines on it only after noon, as it is on the left side of the sun. (9.319-page)

Куш- قش құс [kus] burd

Кул- گنر [kul] slave

Кум [kum] sand

Қурут - قُرُت құрт- құрт, ірімшік – [kurt]

Туқ-тоқ. Туқ киши -тоқ кісі [fat or full]

Қурт –құрт. All Turkic nations use this word and this means (insect).

Қубуз-қобыз. [kobyz]

Булуш-болыс/ ул маңа булуш қилди - ол маған болысты. He helped me.

Туруқ-арық. This is paired word and in Kazakh language is used vice a versa- арық тұрық.

Тузақ-тұзақ. [tuzak] trap

There are also old Turkic y sound words that were transformed into y-o sound in modern Kazakh language.

Куй فوي -қой. Куй йили-қой жылы. Year of sheep.

Кур-қор. Ferment of kumyz. (9. 831).

Қул-қол. [kol] hand.

Қум-қом (түйенің қомы) [kom] back fat of camel.

Буз-боз. Боз ат, боз қой.

Буш-бос. Empty.

Кун-қон. Куш қунды құс қонды.

Relying on these examples we can conclude that the sounds y, y, o are close from the genetic

We faced with this phenomenon when we compared lexisof Kashgari dictionary and materials of Kazakh language. For example:

бур-бүр, тур-түр (түру), туш-түсу,

Кун - کُن күн. Кун тугди. Күн туды. Кунка бақса куз қамар - күнге кім қараса, соның көзін қариды. 330-р.

Кун- کن күн (бу кун барғил) – бүгін бар [kun] sun

Бурк- غرف бөрік. In order to show the meaning of this word Kashgari gave the next proverb: *Татсиз турк булмас, башсиз бурк булмас* — Turk cannot survive without Persian (Tat), head without fur cup. (336-p)

Туз- تُر түз (түзу бірдеңе, туз йир-түзу жер – level ground

κγ3, autumn کُز-Ку3

Туш- تُشُ түс (түсетін уақыт пен жер) afternoon

Кумуш-күміс - silver

Кумаж-көмеш/ күлде пісірілген нан (күлше).

If we pay attention to the statement of turcologist D.G. Kiyekbayev who worked on development of consonants in Turkish language, disappearance of ancient consonants started from open syllable 0,0,2. As a result vowels with slurred length disappeared and formed narrow vowels y,y,u.

These changes in some Turkish languages lead to the formation of 0,0,0 (e) narrow vowels and changed ancient y,\ddot{y},i consonants. Narrowing of open syllable $0,\ddot{0},a$ to a degree of y,y,u pushed out ancient y, \ddot{y} i consonants. The process of narrowing can be seen in similarities of y-ы sounds in comparison of M. Kashgari dictionary and Kazakh language. For example: Булға-былға or pollute. Ол сувун булғады. Ол суды былғады – He polluted water.

The word $\kappa a \varepsilon y h$ in dictionary was changed into $\kappa a y \omega h h$ — muskmelon because the sound ε had fallen out and left $\kappa a y h$. But in Kazakh language this word exposed to epenthesis and changed into $\kappa a y \omega h$, because there is no any sound like (y h).

This change is called vowel harmony; sound ε pushed out y and is exposed to epenthesis, so sound ε is not changed with y.

Change of sound ε by the influence of vowel harmony law can be seen in next examples: \mathcal{H} $\mathcal{H$

Ағыз, сағлық, сағым, ауриғ, йамағ, тағ words were transformed and adopted into ауыз, саулық, сауым, ауру, жамау, тау (9.92).

The process of changing of sound ε is described by B. Sagindykuly. In YI-XIY c. this sound like sounds κ, κ, Γ had a priorities of saving and felling out in word structure. Now guttural sounds are fully pushed out because of change of raised sounds with blackness sounds.

In the following example we can observe changes of linguistic factors while comparing variants from Divan and Kazakh language (Turkic languages).

B. Sagindykuly announced that sounds $\kappa, F, \kappa, \Gamma$ were fallen out in the middle of the word more than in the end of the word.

We tried to compare the transformation of words тушағ (9.387- page), қирағу, бузағу, бушуғу, тушағу (417-page), тумағу from dictionary with modern Kazakh language use.

This was adopted the next way: the sound y just moved forward to the place of fell sound ε because it was the last sound that is why it did not need any epenthesis:

```
қирағу\rightarrow қырағу\rightarrow қырау; - frost бузагу\rightarrow бузағ\rightarrow бузау\rightarrow бұзау; - calf тумағу \rightarrow тумау\rightarrow тұмау\rightarrow сold.
```

All the matches of F-y in examples above B. Sagindykuly offered to consider it as a coincidence (10.62).

The sounds *w-c* are changeable because they have the same origin. This coincidence is the most frequently occurring phenomenon in Turkic languages. M. Kashgari warned that Turkic languages have differences in sounds, for example, Kipchaks used sound *w* instead of *c*. Kazakh language belongs to the Kipchak group of languages and we started to study the difference between modern Kazakh language words and the words from dictionary because of III-c sounds similarities.

The one word in M. Kashgari dictionary which stays without any figural and contextual changes is *iHi* (brother). This word has another meaning in some other Turkic languages. For example, in Usbek dialects this word means *brother*, in Turkmen language means *nephew*, in Turk dialects this means *sister*, in Manchurian-Tungusic languages means in-law. (11. 363). Here we should point out that Kazakh word brother-in-law is similar by context.

Word қарыз (loan) is a borrowing word from Arab language and in modern Kazakh spoken language is used like debt. Equivalent of load in M.Kashgari dictionary is бирим that is берім(refund) in Kazakh language. Taking into account the word formation in Kazakh language verb *алу* to take formed - алым- receipts, the verb өнү – to develop formed өнім – product, the verb $\Theta cy - to \ grow \ formed \ \Theta cim - growth, the verb \ \delta iny$ - to know formed білім - knowledge, the word биpum is formed from the verb to give and there is no doubt that this word is transformed into modern use қарыз – debt. There is an illustrational material for the word берім: Алимжи арслан, биримжи сижган (9.385). A borrower is lion, a person who repays money is mouse.

Word *саман* in the dictionary can also refer to the example of *б-м* sounds similarity. M.Kashgari defined the origin of this word came from Chigil language and now it is used like *сабан* – [saban]-straw. Another examples are бұз-мұз – [muz] - ice, бузлуқ-мұздық [muzdyk] iceberg, сузма-сүзбе [suzbe] curd, бурун-мұрын [murin] nose. There is a great proverb *Буздан сув тамар* – мұздан су тамар – мұздан су тамар – water drips from ice. M.Kashgari used this proverb when someone character is the same like father's character (9.832).

Words *батман*, *катман* are additional examples of *б-м* sounds similarity. They were transformed like *батпан* [batpan], *кетпен* [ketpen]. We should understand that these changes is made by vocalic harmony law.

Examples of $3/\tilde{u}$ [z,i] sounds similarity can be seen in the next word: қайғы [kaugu] grief is formed from $\kappa a3 \epsilon y$ (9.398). This was written in work of Kashgari: йағма, тухсу, қыпшақ, ябақу, татар, қай, чумул that mean Ogus use з [z] instead of \tilde{u} [i] and never use sound $\partial s \stackrel{.}{\circ}$ [dz]. When others call birch as қадзық [kadzyk]this tribe calls қайың [kayin] birch, if they call in-laws as кадзын [kadzin] this tribe calls қайын /kayin/ in-law. The sound дз [dz] which is used by Chingil and other Turkic tribes changed into the sound 3 [z] by Kipchak, Yamak, Subar Bulgar and tribes that lived in the territories near Rus and Rome. Turks used to call a leg $a\partial 3a\kappa$ [adzak], they call it $a3a\kappa$ [azak]. Use of pronouns and verbs can be understood by these examples. Concluding the sound $\partial 3 [dz]$ of Chingil is equal to \tilde{u} [i] which was used by Argus who lived in the territories from Yamga, Tuhsci, Ogus to China (some Kazakh scientist describes China as Chin, if we consider that Chaina in Arab language is Sin, Sin should be Kazakh equivalent of China) and correspond with sound 3/2 which was used by tribes who lived till the territory of Rome(9.71).

Now we should make a step back. Discovering M.Kashgari's works Kazakh scientist made a mistake conserning Argus: "local tribes till the territory of Chin used sound й [i]" (1.47-48,12.101). Couse of this mistake is understandable because scientist read Kashgari

dictionary in Turkish translation, so word багзы [bagzu] in Turkish language means some in Arab language. Arab borrowing in Turkish language are on more use than in Kazakh language, words which contain sound π ($\dot{\omega}$) [d] is changed in to sound π [z].

Similarity of Kazakh and old Turkic languages are on use of \mathcal{H} - \mathcal{U} [zh-sh] sounds. The sound \mathcal{H} [zh] is changed into \mathcal{U} [sh] in Kazakh language: \mathcal{K} \mathcal{U} \mathcal{U}

There a full of words that have kept its original form. For example: талақ (көк бауыр), тылақ, бұлан, сірке, сірке су, терек, тілек, бөрік, қырық, көрік (korik-equipment of blacksmith another meaning korik-beauty), қымыз, балық, тұзақ, құлақ, қатық, қылық.

There are recognizable words through sound similarity: бишик - бесік, қавуқ-қуық, қашуқ-қасық, қунуқ-қонақ, бижақ, билак-білек, тирактерек, тилак-тілек, бурка-бүрге, тушак-төсек, тишак-тұсақ, талик-тілік, тазак-тезек, кабак-кебек, сидук-сідік, кубук-көбік, казик-кезек, кунак-көнек, касак-кесек.

In conclusion, Kazakh vocabulary takes its origin from ancient Turkish language, the more it develops the deeper roots.

Әдебиеттер

- 1. Мұрат Сабыр. Орта түркі тілі лексикасы мен қазақ тілі лексикасының сабақтастығы. Алматы: Қазақ университеті, 2004
- 2. Манкеева Ж.А. Мәдени лексиканың ұлттық сипаты.-Алматы: «Ғылым»,1997.
- 3. Кайдаров А.Т. Структура односложных корней и основ в казахском языке. Алма-Ата, 1986.
- 4. Кононов А.Н. Махмуд Кашгарский о тюркских языках. В книге: История лингвистических учений. Средневековый восток. Ленинград: «Наука», 1981.
- 5. Қашғари Махмуд. Диуан луғат ат-турк. Анкара, 1990.
- 6. Наджип З.Н. Заслуги арабских филологов в области изучения тюркских языков. В книге: Семитские языки. М., «Наука», 1985

Referense

- 1. Murat Sabyr. Orta turki tili leksikasy men kazak tili leksikasynyn sabaktastygy.- Almaty: Kazak universiteti, 2004
- 2. Mankeeva Zh.A. Madeni leksikanyn ulttyk sipaty. Almaty: «Gylym»,1997.
- 3. Kajdarov A.T. Struktura odnoslozhnyh kornej i osnov v kazahskom jazyke. Alma-Ata, 1986.
- 4. Kononov A.N. Mahmud Kashgarskij o tjurkskih jazykah. V knige: Istorija lingvisticheskih uchenij. Srednevekovyj vostok. Leningrad: «Nauka», 1981.
 - 5. Kashgari Mahmud. Diuan lugat at-turk. Ankara, 1990.
- 6. Nadzhip Z.N. Zaslugi arabskih filologov v oblasti izuchenija tjurkskih jazykov. V knige: Semitskie jazyki. M., «Nauka», 1985